

Reflecting on Fifty Years Since the National Emergency in India: A Historical Overview

Neeraj

Primary Teacher, Govt. Model Sanskriti Sr. Sec. School, Buraka Hathin, Palwal (Haryana) INDIA

Email: neerajmalik868@gmail.com

Abstract

The Indian Emergency: The overthrow of the constitution was declared in India on 26 June 1975 and is still one of the most controversial political events to have occurred in the country. Now, fifty years later, the episode continues to influence debates about democracy, civil rights and constitutional powers. This article offers a compact and lucid summary of the 1975–77 Emergency: why it was declared, what followed, and its consequences as well as legacy. It seeks to explore why the Emergency happened, how it was put into practice, and what lessons it carries for India today. Drawing on historical references, official records and academic observations, the paper argues that it is both a moment of profound crisis and an essential turning point in India’s democratic journey.

Keywords: Emergency, India, Indira Gandhi, Civil Liberties, Democracy, 1975, Constitution, Press Freedom, Political History.

Introduction

The 1975-1977 Emergency, also known simply as “the Emergency,” was a period in which the Indian government suspended several democratic rights. It was proclaimed by the then Prime Minister, Indira Gandhi, in accordance with Article 352 of the Constitution owing to claim that there were imminent threats against national security because of internal disturbance. The government gained sweeping powers for 21 months. Civil liberties were curtailed, political leaders imprisoned and the press severely censored. 2025 is the fiftieth anniversary of the start of Emergency in India. A half century later we can look at this with a clearer mind, somewhat removed from the heat and emotion of the specific politics. This paper discusses about the Emergency by looking at its historical backdrop, the legal and political aspects, social consequences, and some of the lessons that are still relevant to Indian politics.

Political Tensions

India of the early 1970s encountered a mix of economic, political and social challenges. National morale had been buoyed by the Indo-Pakistan War of 1971, but quickly afterwards its people became

disillusioned by inflation, unemployment and food shortages. Opposition parties attacked the government for ignoring these fears.

One turning point in the session was the 9 June 1975 judgement of the Allahabad High Court which convicted Prime Minister Indira Gandhi for electoral malpractices during the 1971 general elections. The court also prohibited her from seeking public office for six years. This decision sparked huge protests by opposition figures including Jayaprakash Narayan, who demanded a drive of “Total Revolution.”

The Indian Government was anxious. It felt that political unrest, strikes and mass protests caused instability and weakened the national cohesion of the country. Government officials alleged that a concerted movement was being made to paralyse the various organs of the government and to excite rebellion amongst the employees of the State. Indira Gandhi stressed the fact that this atmosphere was conducive to conditions prejudicial to the national security. The Declaration of Emergency On the night of the 25th June, 1975, the President of India, Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed, acting on the advice of Indira Gandhi, proclaimed the Emergency. The ground given was that there was internal disturbance which was a phrase calculated to cover any contingency that was attached to the making of a declaration of a national emergency. There was no necessity on the part of the government to show any external danger before it could make a declaration that there was a national emergency.

Main Features of the Emergency

Suspension of Fundamental Rights

With the proclamation of the Emergency, the constitutional fundamental rights were suspended. The government used the Maintenance of Internal Security Act (MISA) and arrested thousands of persons without trial. Many prominent leaders, including from the Congress (O) party, Bharatiya Jana Sangh, Janata Party groups and social activists were detained.

The most grave consequence was that Habeas Corpus was suspended and the individuals were not allowed to fight their arrest in the Court.

Censorship and Control of the Press

All newspapers had to submit all articles to the Government censors before being published. Critical reporting was discouraged and editors were removed from their posts. A famous incident was when The Indian Express left a blank editorial space as a symbolic protest.

Political and Administrative Changes

Several policies were introduced by the government, which were aimed at economic discipline and urban reform. Two controversial policies were: 1. Forced Sterilisation Campaign The Forced Sterilisation Campaign, which was headed by Sanjay Gandhi was aimed at controlling the population growth and this was done by coercion, especially on the poor sections of the society. 2. Slum Clearance Drives In Delhi and other Metros, thousands of houses were razed to the ground; this was done often without prior intimation and rehabilitation.

Centralization of Power

The Emergency reinforced the power of the Prime Minister's Office, as Parliament passed constitutional amendments that expanded executive authority while reducing the ability of courts to check governmental decisions.

Public Response and Resistance

Even though political activity was restricted, resistance occurred. Pamphlets were distributed through underground groups; secret meetings were organised. Many silent expressions of opposition were displayed by ordinary citizens who were afraid of punishment.

Yet, several journalists, students, and activists continued to voice apprehensions despite the censorship. International organisations, foreign governments, and human rights groups also criticised the Emergency on the grounds that India had drifted away from democratic norms.

The End of the Emergency and the 1977 Elections

In early 1977, amidst rising political pressure and international condemnation, Indira Gandhi surprisingly declared elections. Many are of the opinion that such a course of action was taken because she thought the opposition to be weak and that the Emergency had restored public order.

However, when elections took place in March 1977, it became a historic defeat for the Congress Party. The recently formed Janata Party won the majority and Morarji Desai became Prime Minister. Among the first actions of the new government was the withdrawal of the Emergency and the release of the remaining political prisoners.

Long-term consequences of the Emergency

Strengthening Democratic Awareness

The Emergency underscored that democratic rights cannot be taken for granted. There was greater political participation after 1977, with more active civil society. The electorate demonstrated that it could change a government through elections in a non-violent manner, even one as powerful as Indira's.

Constitutional Reforms

In 1978, the Janata government passed the 44th Constitutional Amendment. The amendment made it more difficult for any future government to declare an Emergency based on internal disturbances alone. It also restored many powers of the judiciary and strengthened protections for civil liberties.

Continuing Debates

Fifty years hence, the Emergency is a touchy subject. The supporters of Indira Gandhi explain that there was a necessity for the declaration of Emergency to keep disorder at bay and protect national unity. Critics consider it as a direct attack on democracy, political misuse of constitutional powers.

The debate underlines how the Emergency continues to be central to India's political thinking, legal discussion, and public memory.

Conclusion

The National Emergency of 1975–1977 was one of the most critical political events in Indian history. It is an event that has served as a reminder, five decades later, of the fragility of democratic systems when political power becomes unduly concentrated. Though the Emergency did implement certain administrative changes, it also carried widespread restrictions on basic freedoms. The less-than-salutary experience during those two dark years taught India a number of lessons that have strengthened its democratic institutions.

Remembering the Emergency is important, both for historical understanding and for the protection of the values of liberty and constitutional governance. As India looks back on this event after five decades, it remains a powerful reminder that democracy requires constant vigilance, active participation, and strong checks and balances.

References

- [1]. Brass, P. R. (1994). *The politics of India since independence*. Cambridge University Press.
- [2]. Gandhi, I. (1975). *Speech on the Declaration of Emergency*. Government of India Publications.
- [3]. Gupta, A. (2019). *Indira Gandhi: A political biography*. Penguin Books.
- [4]. Jayaprakash Narayan. (1977). *Prison diary*. Orient Longman.
- [5]. Kothari, R. (1977). *Democratic politics and collapse of the system*. Vikas Publishing.
- [6]. Noorani, A. G. (2014). *The constitutional questions during the Emergency*. Oxford University Press.
- [7]. Tarlo, E. (2003). *Unsettling memories: Narratives of the Emergency in Delhi*. University of California Press.

Cite this Article

Neeraj, “*Reflecting on Fifty Years Since the National Emergency in India: A Historical Overview*”, *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Arts, Science and Technology (IJMRAST)*, ISSN: 2584-0231, Volume 3, Issue 11, pp. 11-14, November 2025.

Journal URL: <https://ijmrast.com/>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.61778/ijmrast.v3i11.198>



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).